



faculty of social
sciences, arts
and humanities

SAL 41/2022

Research Journal
Studies about Languages
pp. 5-20

ISSN 1648-2824 (print)

ISSN 2029-7203 (online)

DOI 10.5755/j01.sal.1.41.30709

LINGUISTICS / KALBOTYRA

Verbalization of the Notion of Time in Present-Day English

Received 02/2022

Accepted 09/2022



<http://dx.doi.org/10.5755/j01.sal.1.41.30709>

HOW TO CITE: Borysov, O., & Mai, M. (2022). Verbalization of the notion of time in present-day English. *Studies about Languages / Kalbų studijos*, 41, 5–20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5755/j01.sal.1.41.30709>

Verbalization of the Notion of Time in Present-Day English

„Laiko“ sąvokos verbalizacija šiuolaikinėje anglų kalboje

MARIIA MAI, T. H. Shevchenko National University “Chernihiv Colehium”, Chernihiv, Ukraine

OLEKSII BORYSOV, T. H. Shevchenko National University “Chernihiv Colehium”, Chernihiv, Ukraine

Abstract

The universal notion of time, alongside the basic notion of space, is believed to determine the formation of a huge number of other notions of the human mind. The results of *time* interpretation are fixed first and foremost in the semantics of the lexical units of national languages. The novelty of this research is that the noun synonyms to the lexeme *time* in present-day English have been established and analyzed with their subsequent grouping in synonymic rows within the core of the nominative field of *time*. The structure of the polysemous lexeme *time*, which consists of nine lexico-semantic variants, was chosen as guidance for synonymic rows organization. On the ground of the established obligatory and optional ties between them, among which hyper-hyponymic relations turn out to be fundamental, the semantic network of the core of the nominative field of *time* has been revealed and visualized. The network is based on four central hyperseemes that represent the main features of the notion of time verbalized by the noun units of present-day English. A clear tendency of synonyms to express *time* metaphorically and metonymically in terms of ‘quantity’ in close connection to the components ‘something that happens’ and ‘state’ has been established.

KEYWORDS: semantics, the notion of time, lexico-semantic variant, synonym, core of the nominative field, semantic network.

Introduction

Gaining insight into the essence of the *time* phenomenon, due to its paramount importance in human life, has always been the aim of specialists from various spheres of science. However, due to its highly abstract character, scientists have to deal with slightly different phenomena (Hawking, 2011, p. 27–28; Janda, 2013, p. 219–220; Tatsenko, 2009, p. 9). For example, physics treats *time* as an overall modification of space known as *objective time*, while psychology and linguistics study *subjective time* or the reflection of the objective time in the person’s mind (Goddard, 2002; Hawking, 2011; Lakoff, 1993; Mihalskiy, 2016; Nordlander, 1997).

In any case, all events happen in *time* and without its tangible consequences there can be no objective succession and subjective experience of duration (Evans, 2005, p. 3). The idea of *time* is fixed in the mental unit 'the notion of time' (see Khairullina, 2018, p. 308–309). It plays a special role in the process of thinking (Janda, 2013; Lakoff, 1993; Plungian & Rakhilina, 2013), as, alongside the notion of space, it is a logical structure of the highest level of abstraction, i.e., a higher-order notion on the basis of which the notions of mind can be constructed (Evans & Green, 2006, p. 231). Together with all the other units that reflect the interpreted objects or phenomena of reality and are embodied in verbal forms, it constitutes language (Nordlander, 1997, p. 3–4), or the world of rationally considered ideas formulated linguistically (Rastall, 2006, p. 34–35).

As the questions about the interconnection of the notion, meaning and representation are in the focus of modern philology (Khairullina, 2018, p. 308; Moghaddas & Dekhnich, 2015, p. 26; Vasylieva, 2016, p. 4.16; Wierzbicka, 1996, p. 3), the issue of *time* phenomenon verbalization is also rather topical (Evans, 2013). Thus, the goal of the article is to establish and describe the noun nominations of the notion of time in present-day English. The analysis of the corresponding fragment of language with a further reconstruction of its semantic network enables us to reveal the structure of the notion of time and explain the principles of organization of the lexical units. More than that, as mental structures verbalized in language contain cultural ideas of the world (Barcelona, 2002, p. 211), such investigation reveals the cultural specificity of *time* interpretation represented in the semantics of the noun lexical units of present-day English.

Theoretical Background of the Research

As a part of a more general problem of the relationship between language and cognition, the issue of *time* verbalization presupposes a scientific consideration of its mental grounds. The framework of the notion of time is modelled on the basis of the meanings of the lexical means that represent it. Even though the mental unit of time has been the subject of a number of studies (Huang, 2016; Janda, 2013; Lakoff, 1993; Makarova & Nessel, 2013; Nordlander, 1997; Plungian & Rakhilina, 2013; Tatsenko, 2009), only a few linguists have attempted to answer the question about its basic semantic structure. So, for example, Evans (2005) reduced all the possible meanings of the noun *time* to eight senses based on the meaning, concept elaboration and grammatical criteria. They are as follows: 1) the Duration Sense (the Sub-sense₁: protracted duration; the Sub-sense₂: temporal compression); 2) the Moment Sense; 3) the Instance Sense; 4) the Event Sense; 5) the Matrix Sense; 6) the Agentive Sense; 7) the Measurement-system Sense; 8) the Commodity Sense (Evans, 2005, p. 107–183). In the scholar's opinion, these lexical senses and their interrelations lie in the basis of the semantic network of *time* (Evans, 2005, p. 120).

Bondarenko (2014) describes TIME as a complex matrix of domains that consists of 'warm' and 'cold' components represented in English in the form of the lexico-semantic field. The 'warm' domain is MODE OF ACTION, whereas the domains LOCATION ON THE TIME AXIS and RHYTHM are called 'cold'. The DURATION and SUCCESSION ON THE TIME AXIS are defined as intermediate cognitive structures (Bondarenko, 2014, p. 53).

The results of the research by Afanasieva (2007) and Nilsen (2010) are also of great interest. According to Nilsen (2010), six blocks constitute the semantics of *time* in present-day English: 1) Time as value; 2) Time as higher power; 3) Time as motion (3a – linear time; 3b – cyclical time); 4) Absolute time; 5) Time as container; 6) Time as a measurement system. To single out the blocks, the scientist used data from the survey of English-speaking informants as well as paremiology material (Nilsen, 2010, p. 20–21).

While investigating *time* in English, Spanish and Russian from the contrastive perspective, Afanasieva (2007) came to the conclusion that it has an identical semantic structure. The scholar offered a nuclear-peripheral model of the concept in the centre of which there are meanings of duration, namely 'period of time' (with the sub-meanings 'past', 'present', 'future', 'epoch'), 'moment' ('beginning', 'end') and 'cycle' ('human life' = age, 'life of nature' = seasons of the year) (Afanasieva, 2007, p. 5–9).

According to the other approach embodied in the widely known NSM (The Natural Semantic Metalanguage) theory, there is a certain number of initial universal meanings – semantic primitives (or primes), presumably inherent to all human languages (Goddard, 2001; Wierzbicka, 1996). So, eight primes of TIME are distinguished: WHEN, AFTER, BEFORE, NOW, A LONG TIME, A SHORT TIME, FOR SOME TIME, MOMENT (Goddard, 2002, p. 301; Wierzbicka, 1996, p. 35).

Our investigation was conducted in the frame of structural semantics. Though the above-mentioned works devoted to the analysis of the contents of the mental unit of *time* follow the line of the cognitive approach in linguistics, it is in no way contradictory. First, ‘the concept’ and ‘the notion’ are in ‘whole-part’ relations, and, second, being a logical nucleus of the concept, it is the notion that primarily forms the semantic structure of nominative fragments of the language (Borysov, 2010, p. 63–64; Khairullina, 2018, p. 308–310). In other words, the notion serves as a basis for the grouping of lexical means within a thematically oriented nominative fragment of language called ‘the nominative field’. The term denotes the number of synonymic units of different parts of speech that verbalize the notion in the language (Borysov, 2005, p. 58). ‘The core of the nominative field’ (henceforth, CNF) is formed by the noun synonyms to the key lexeme (Borysov, 2005). The value of our research is that it complements the scientific papers devoted to the study of the structure of *time* and the lexical means of its representation in present-day English through the systematization of the numerous noun synonyms to the lexeme *time* and establishing correlations between synonymic groups in the corresponding CNF. These semantic connections should obviously be based on the integral meaningful components functioning in the synonymic sets. Such an approach allows us to define the semantic structure of the CNF of *time* and reconstruct the structure of the notion of time in English language consciousness most accurately.

Methods and Material

To achieve the goal of the article, the following methodological procedure was elaborated and implemented.

Step 1. First of all, we found and analyzed all the lexico-semantic variant(s) (=LSV(s)) of the noun *time* available in present-day English dictionaries with a consequent construction of a logically structured list of all the LSVs of the lexeme. The term ‘LSV’ is used in this paper either as one of the meanings of the polysemous lexeme or the word (i.e., a union of the form of the lexeme and one of its meanings) and in this case the number of all LSVs construct the lexeme (Lyons, 1995; Palmer, 1976).

As Kövecses (2015, p. 273) states, ‘dictionaries of various kinds must be consulted before one obtains all, or at least most or much, of the lexical information that pertains to the lexeme we are interested in’. Following this approach, we used present-day (contemporary) British lexicographical sources and added several authoritative American dictionaries to get most of the lexical contents of *time* nominations. Here we also follow the idea that dictionaries based on large corpora are vastly preferred to ones that are not (Kövecses, 2015, p. 273).

LSV₁, which is a basic meaning of the polysemous lexeme, is specified or expanded (metaphorically or metonymically) by the meanings of the other LSVs (Goldberg, 1988; Lakoff, 1993). So, it was important to present an all-embracing informational content of LSV₁ of the unit *time*, as it was crucial for determining metaphorical and metonymical meanings further. While constructing a generalized definition of LSV₁, we took into consideration the core of the meaning suggested by most of the dictionaries. For example, if the lexeme *time* is presented in the dictionaries as *unlimited progress of existence...* (LED) / *continuous passing...* (CCD) / *nonspatial continuum...* (AHDEL), etc., when only in one source it is defined as a *period...* (MWD), then a synthesized definition of LSV₁ starts as follows: *unlimited continued progress of existence*. The meaning *period* is then referred to one of the subsequent LSVs of the lexeme. In the same way, we determine the other LSVs.

Step 2. The CNF of *time* was established through the synonymic extension of the nucleus lexeme. Employing the ‘lexical method’ (Kövecses, 2015), we searched for the noun lexical items that refer to the notion of time in present-day English monolingual dictionaries, thesauri, and idiom dictionaries of various sorts. It was revealed that the synonyms of the lexeme *time* found in synonymic dictionaries could be chosen by lexicographers as those ones that generally relate to the topic under investigation (see Lyons, 1995, p. 60–62). There are no uniform established criteria for their grouping and that is why the synonymic groups are constructed in such sources differently. We believe that it is a synthesized list of its LSVs that can be viewed as a ‘waymark’ for the synonymic extension of the nucleus *time* lexeme.

In the thesauri (CD; CT; CRS; CET; FDF; LET; LDCE; MT; MWT; RT; SD; TC; WNLDE), all the words that reflect the meanings of each LSV of the lexeme *time* were checked and selected. In its turn, each synonym (456 units) has LSVs that allow referring it to the CNF of *time*. All in all, 2887 definitions have been gathered and analyzed.

However, it was not sufficient to gather all the words fixed by thesauri for this or that meaning of the lexeme *time*. The fact is that not all the units turn out to be true synonyms as they were united on the grounds of a thematic feature and, in this way, they represent the denotatum approximately. That is why a contextual criterion of synonym identification was applied and numerous illustrations from the dictionaries were looked through. For example, the interchangeability of the lexeme *time* and *high tide* seems doubtful on the surface. However, due to the examples in LED, it becomes clear that in the sentence *But he took the news well, in part because he knows his time will come soon*, the lexeme can be substituted with *high tide* with a minimum sense difference. Accordingly, we can rightfully refer *high tide* to the group of synonyms of *time* (LSV8.2.) – *the successful, fortunate, or influential part of a person's life or career*.

Step 3. The semantic analysis of the gathered definitions was done via the componential method. It is carried out by dividing the meaning into minimal components, identifying their classification *valeur* ('value') and, therefore, establishing hierarchical relations within the semantic structure (Lyons, 1995). The semantic classification employed in the article includes 1) the superior categorial seme of the part of speech, which is always implicitly present; 2) the *generic* seme (hyperseme or archeseme) as the most general sense in the structure of the meaning, which refers a cognized denotatum to a definite mental category; 3) the *differential* seme (or hyposeme), which specifies an archeseme and reveals a definite feature of the denotatum (Filipec, 1994, p. 171). If we apply this typology, for instance, to the unit *infinity* (*unlimited extent of time, space, or quantity* (MWD)), a categorial seme of 'noun', an archeseme 'extent' and differential semes 'unlimited', 'time', 'space' and 'quantity' are established in its meaning.

One more type of semes called *integral* (i.e., common for two or more meanings) is singled out when different meanings are compared (Goldberg, 1988, p. 30). The more integral semes (either a hyperseme or hyposeme) the words possess, the closer they are in their semantics. For example, the hyperseme 'period' and hyposeme 'history' are integral in the definitions of *era* (*a long and distinct period of history*) and *chapter* (*a distinctive period in history* (LED)).

Step 4. The synonyms of the CNF of *time* were grouped into synonymic row(s) (=SR(s)) based on the archesemes in their semantics. Describing the SRs, we pointed out the specific LSV of the unit *time*, to which an SR belongs. SRs are headed by the *synonymic dominant*(s) (=SD(s)), i.e., the most stylistically neutral and general unit(s) among other synonyms (Palmer, 1976, p. 60–63). The meaning of the SD functions as an archeseme for the meanings of the SR. The percentage of the synonyms distribution in the SRs was calculated.

Step 5. Finding out semantic ties between SRs helps to organize uncoordinated SRs into a coherent system or the semantic network of CNF of *time*. The semantic network is a universal model of knowledge presentation. It is interpreted as a data structure consisting of nodes and semantic ties between them (Hartley & Barden, 1997). The whole lexical structure of language is regarded as a network of sense relations (Lyons, 1995, p. 102). In our case, it is the integral archesemes represented by the SRs that are the nodes of the network.

We used the classification of semantic ties between the separate units developed by Goldberg (1988) to establish the connections specifically between the SRs, which is also the *novelty* of our research. There are obligatory and optional semantic ties (Goldberg, 1988, p. 13). The **obligatory** tie is defined by the term 'inclusion' based on the identity of at least one seme in the meanings of the units. Inclusion is revealed in several types. *Hyper-hyponymic* relations are viewed as hierarchical between the units with wider and narrower semantics (hypernym / hyponym). *Intersecting* means that the units possess one or more common semes. *Partitive* relations are revealed between the words that name a whole object and its parts (Goldberg, 1988, p. 13–16).

The **optional** tie is realized in the phenomena of convergence and divergence. Convergence is based on the closeness of the contents of the semes (Goldberg, 1988, p. 13). It includes the 1) *phase* ties established through the components that mark the initial, intermediate and final stages of the action; 2) *attraction* ties revealed by the semes that point out a state or action that follows the preceding one; 3) *reminiscent* ties that signify the state or action that precedes another one; 4) *temporal* ties that reflect time reference of the action (Goldberg, 1988, p. 16–17).

Divergence is based on the contrast of senses. It has three types. *Antonymic* ties reflect polarity of the elements, *inconsistency* demonstrates contradiction of the elements, and the *opposition* suggests contrariety in meanings (Goldberg, 1988, p. 18).

Step 6. The task of this stage was to find out the semes that are central to the whole network, i.e., hypersemes to all the other semes uniting the SRs of *time*. These semes of the highest level of semantic hierarchy are those components that represent the main features of the notion of time. After this procedure, the semantic network of the CNF of the notion of time was visualized.

Step 7. With a great number of LSVs of the analyzed synonyms, it was logical to suggest that the relations between the integral hypersemes of the *time* nominations should also be based on these associations. *Time* is an abstract phenomenon and humans usually operate the notions of material objects, more understandable to them, to interpret things like that (Barcelona, 2002, p. 225–226; Lakoff, 1993, p. 207). That is why the reconstruction of the CNF of *time* was finally finished with consideration of the semantic ‘traces’ left by metaphor and metonymy in its boundaries. Though metonymical ties are realized, for example, in partitive or hyper-hyponymic relations mentioned above, this type is considered separately in this study.

To sum up, the following linguistic methods of analysis were used in the article: lexical, componential, descriptive, interpretative, quantitative methods as well as grouping and modelling.

Results and Discussion

The elaborated methodological procedure made it possible to establish the composition and structure of the CNF of *time* headed by the noun lexical unit *time*. The study of all the LSV₁ of the lexeme and their synthesis into one generalized meaning (to avoid certain lexicography subjectivity of the present-day English dictionaries) enabled us to compose its

all-embracing definition:

time (LSV₁) is the unlimited continued progress of existence that is measured in terms of events that succeed one another from past through the present to the future regarded as a whole.

Taking into account all the other LSVs of the unit *time*, we offer the following arrangement and specification of the LSVs within an expanded semantic structure:

- a LSV_{1.1}: *the continued progress of existence as affecting people and things;*
 LSV_{1.2}: *any system for reckoning or expressing time including systems of recording hours used in different parts of the world;*
 LSV_{1.3}: *the personification of time, typically as an old man with a scythe and an hourglass.*
- b LSV₂: *a particular point in time measured and expressed in hours, minutes, or days, months and years, and as can be read from a clock, or watch or told by a calendar.*
- c LSV₃: *a particular moment that is suitable for a particular activity, or at which something is expected to happen, begin or end.*
 LSV_{3.1}: *the moment at which childbirth or death is expected;*
 LSV_{3.2}: *the particular point in the day at which people who are drinking in a bar in the UK have to finish their drinks and leave.*
- d LSV₄: *an indefinite period:*
 LSV_{4.1}: *a fairly long period of time;*
 LSV_{4.2}: *an indefinite but usually short period of time;*
 LSV_{4.3}: *an indefinite period extending into the future.*
- e LSV₅: *finite duration:*
 LSV_{5.1}: *a particular period being considered, especially the present;*
 LSV_{5.2}: *a historical period;*
 LSV_{5.3}: *season.*
- f LSV₆: *a period of life as allotted, available or used:*

LSV_{6.1}: *leisure; interval;*

LSV_{6.2}: *the hours and days that one spends at work as well as the normal rate of pay for time spent working.*

g LSV₇ *an instance of something happening or being done; an occasion; one of a number or series of occasions or repeated actions.*

h LSV₈ *a period or occasion, especially a personal one, characterized by some quality or experience; period of existence; lifetime:*

LSV_{8.1}: *conditions at present or at some specified period;*

LSV_{8.2}: *the successful, fortunate, or influential part of a person's life or career; an exciting or noteworthy event;*

LSV_{8.3}: *a period of apprenticeship;*

LSV_{8.4}: *a term of military service;*

LSV_{8.5}: *(informal) a prison sentence.*

i LSV₉ *the rhythmic pattern or tempo of a piece of music; rate of speed in marching, driving, working, etc.*

Thus, a great number of meanings of the lexeme *time* in the dictionaries can be reduced to these nine LSVs that reflect various aspects of *time* interpretation in English. As these key meanings are those semantic grounds for a further selection of the units that denote the notion of time in present-day English (AHDEL; CD; CCD; CED; CET; CT; CRS; DC; FDF; LDCE; LED; LET; MD; MT; MWD; MWT; OLD; POED; RT; SD; TC; WNLDE), we succeeded in constructing twenty-seven SRs of the CNF of *time* using the methods of componential analysis and grouping.

SR₁ is united by the SD *time*, with its meaning as an archeseme present in the semantics of all the units. However, the seme 'time' or 'progress of existence' constitutes a complex unity with another integral seme 'reification' (*the process or result of representing something abstract as a material or concrete thing; giving definite content and form to a concept or idea*) (MWD; MWT) that additionally specifies it as a reflection of the attempt of English-speaking people to grasp the essence of *time* in less abstract forms.

Thus, SR₁ (that comprises 3.3% of all the 456 lexical units) consists of, e.g.:

- 1 *the fourth dimension (**time** (LSV_{1.1})); time scale (LSV₂), local time / standard time (**time** (LSV_{1.2})); (Old) Father Time, the soul of the world, the greatest innovator, the devourer of things, the nurse and the breeder of all good, that old common arbitrator, Old Time the clock-setter (**time** (LSV_{1.3})), etc.*

SR₂ (2.4%) is headed by the SD *period* (LSV₃) (*an amount of time of indefinable length*) with semantic reference to LSV₄, e.g.:

- 2 *stretch, space, tract, while, length (LSV₁), way (LSV₂), distance (LSV₂), etc.*

The 'amount of time' is a complex seme that unites SR₂.

SR₃ (0.9%) which also refers to LSV₁ consists of four lexical elements with *infinity* (*unlimited extent of time*) in the role of its SD:

- 3 *eternity, perpetuity, sempiternity.*

The SR is based on the components 'endless' and 'time'.

SR₄ (5.9%) is led by the SD *aeon* (*an indefinite and very long period of time*) based on LSV_{4.1} of the lexeme *time*, e.g.:

- 4 *months, cycle, forever, blue moon, (many) moons, long (run), hours, ages (and ages), a coon's age, a lifetime/all one's life, (light/donkey's) years, a dog's age, etc.*

The union of the semes 'indefinite' (*lasting for an unknown or unstated length of time*) and 'long period' (with gradational semes 'very' / 'relatively' / 'seemingly') forms SR₄.

The SD of **SR₅** (5.7%) *moment / mo* (a short indefinite period of time) clings to LSV_{4.2}. of time:

- 5 *instant, no time, twinkle, wink, trice, eye-blink, snatch, (split) second, flash, heartbeat, tick, minute, sec, nanosecond, twinkling, shake, blip, etc.*

The semes 'indefinite' and 'short period' integrate the units into **SR₅**.

SR₆ (the most extended SR: 13.2%), with the SD *period* (LSV₁) (a particular length of time with a beginning and an end), possesses the units that belong to LSV₂, LSV₅ and LSV₆ of time and is subdivided into six sub-rows.

The synonyms of **SR_{6.1}** are banded together by the complex seme 'particular period':

- 6 a **SR_{6.1}** (of LSV₅), e.g.: (the) *hour(s)* (LSV₂), *day(s)*, *season* (LSV₂), *phase, spell, passage, patch, moment, window (of opportunity)*, etc.

SR_{6.1.1} is based on the complex seme 'particular point' and can be referred both to LSV₂ and LSV_{5.1}. e.g.:

- point, moment, juncture, second, instant, minute, timing, stage, stroke, etc.;*

SR_{6.2} is formed around 'period when something happens' (LSV₆), e.g.:

- 6 b *timing, episode, date, timescale* (LSV₁), *round, term, occasion* (LSV₁), *standing, session, interlude* (LSV₁), *longevity*, etc.

SR_{6.2.1} is based on the 'allotted period' (LSV₆), e.g.: *the fullness of time, the sands of time, time slot, all the time in the world.*

SR_{6.3} is united by the 'period between two events' (LSV₆), e.g.:

- 6 c *the intervening years/month/period, interval, lapse, interim, interlude* (LSV₂), *(time)lag*, etc.

The sub-row **SR_{6.3.1}** with an integral seme 'period when something does not happen' contains the lexemes *gap, hiatus*.

The SD of **SR₇** (0.4%) is *season* (LSV₁) (a period of the year characterized by a particular climatic feature or marked by a particular activity, event, or festivity) (LSV_{5.3}. of time):

- 7 *season, time of year.*

The members of the set are united by the complex seme 'period of the year' and belong to LSV_{5.3}.

The meaning of 'past' (the period of time or a segment of it that has elapsed; the events, phenomena, conditions, etc., that characterized an earlier historical period) plays the role of the archeseme for **SR₈** (2.2%) and is realized in LSV_{5.2}. of time:

- 8 *history, yesterday, yesteryear, the (good) old days, bygone age/era/days, days of yore, etc.*

A separate SR which also refers to LSV_{5.2}. of time is **SR₉** (3.7%) with the SD of *period* (LSV₂) (a particular amount of time in history):

- 9 *era, age, epoch, day(s), years, chapter, page (in/of (something's) history), world, floruit* (LSV₂), *generation*, etc.

The complex seme 'period in/of history' (you can refer to the events of the past or the length of time that something has existed as history) is central for **SR₉**.

SR₁₀ (3%) has *present* (the period of time now occurring; a moment or period in time perceptible as intermediate between past and future; present occasion or affair) as a SD with the reference to LSV_{5.1}. of the unit time:

- 10 *now, nowadays, the here and now, today, the (present) day, moment, instant, etc.*

SR₁₁ (2%) is based on the SD *future* (a period of time following the moment of speaking or writing; events that are still to occur; the condition of a person or thing at a later date) referring to LSV_{4.3}. of time:

- 11 *futurity, time to come, aftertime, hereafter, tomorrow, by-and-by, offing, mañana, etc.*

SR₁₂ (2.9%) is based on the SD **life** (*the period between birth and death, or the experience or state of being alive; the amount of time that something exists or works*) with the reference to LSV₈ of time:

- 12 *lifetime, age, one's years, life expectancy, natural life, lifespan, one's days, allotted span, time on earth, experience (LSV₂), etc.*

SR₁₃ (5%) headed by the SD **beginning** is constructed by two sub-rows.

SR_{13.1} is with the SD **beginning** proper (*the point or occasion at which something starts*) that refers to LSV₃, e.g.:

- 13 a *start, inception, commencement, outset, genesis, era (LSV₂), origination, epoch (LSV₂), dawn(ing), prime, breakthrough (LSV₂), the off, get-go, kickoff, etc.*

LSV_{3.1} that realizes its first differential seme **birth** (*the beginning or coming into existence of something*) is a basis for building **SR_{13.2}**:

- 13 b *birth, date of birth / birthdate, due date, confinement.*

A complex **SR₁₄** (5.5%) with the SD **end(ing)** consists of four parts.

SR_{14.1} is headed by the SD **end(ing)** proper (*the point in time when an action, event, or phenomenon ceases or is completed; a situation in which something is finished or no longer exists*) clinging to LSV₃ of time, e.g.:

- 14 a *passing, expiration, expiry, finish, the end of the road/line, the final curtain, curtains, last gasp, endsville/ Endville, etc.*

SR_{14.2} contains the SD **death** (*the end of the life of a person or animal; the state of being dead; an occasion when someone dies*) that realizes the second differential seme of LSV_{3.1}:

- 14 b *great divide, one's last hour, dissolution.*

SR_{14.3} is united around LSV_{3.2} and has a SD **close** (*the end of an event or of a period of time or activity*):

- 14 c *close, closing time.*

SR_{14.4} with orientation to LSV₃ is led by the SD **time limit** (*a date or time by which something must be done or completed*):

- 14 d *time limit, deadline.*

SR₁₅ (7%) has the SD **spare time** and is divided into two sub-rows. **SR_{15.1}** with the SD **spare time** proper (*the time when one is not working*) is formed around LSV₆, e.g.:

- 15 a *odd minute / moment, leave, holiday(s) / hols, vacation / vacay / vaca, etc.*

SR_{15.2} is led by the SD **rest** (*a period of relaxation or freedom from work, activity, worry, etc.; a state of motionless or inactivity*) subordinate to LSV_{6.1} of time, e.g.:

- 15 b *R&R, leisure, pause, break(time), layoff, coffee break, spell, downtime, breather, smoko/ smoke-oh/smoke-ho, etc.*

The definitions of the lexical units in **SR₁₅** contain the semes 'period', 'work' and semes of negation ('not'/'stop'/'away from'/'freedom from').

SR₁₆, **SR₁₇**, **SR₁₈** and **SR₁₉** are linked by the semes 'period' and 'serve' (*spend a period in office, in an apprenticeship, or in prison; be employed as a member of the armed forces*).

SR₁₆ (2.4%) has a unit **work** (*the period of time one spends in paid employment; mental or physical activity as a means of earning income; employment*) as the SD orientated towards the time (LSV_{6.2}), e.g.:

- 16 *office hours, day, workday/week, stint, full time, shift, watch, trick, etc.*

SR₁₆ is built around the semes 'period' and 'work'.

The SD **apprenticeship** (*the period of time when a person is an apprentice (someone who works for an employer for a fixed period of time to learn a particular skill or job)*) heads **SR₁₇** (0.4%) of LSV_{8.3} of time:

17 *apprenticeship, traineeship.*

The semes 'period' and 'learn skills' are the key ones for the SR.

The unit **enlistment** (*the period of time for which someone is a member of one of the armed forces*) proves to be the SD of **SR₁₈** (1.3%) of LSV_{8.4} of time:

18 *tour (of duty), duty (tour), hitch (LSV₁).*

The **SR₁₈** is bound by the semes 'period', 'military service' (*service is the work done by people or equipment in the army, navy, or air force*).

The SD of **SR₁₉** (2.4%) is **imprisonment** (*the punishment of being put into prison, the state of being in prison, or the time someone spends there*) and it refers all its units to LSV_{8.5} of the lexeme *time*, e.g.:

19 *(custodial / prison) sentence, (prison) term, jail term / sentence, captivity, hitch (LSV₂), porridge, etc.*

The semes 'period', 'punishment', 'prison' unite the lexical units in **SR₁₉**.

SR₂₀ (8.3%) is headed by the unit **heyday** (*the period of a person's or thing's greatest success, popularity, activity, or vigour*) and is semantically subordinate to LSV_{8.2} of time:

20 *glory days/years, the good old days, prime (LSV₁), vigour, flower(ing), blossom, floruit, spring-time, breakthrough (LSV₁), height, zenith, pinnacle, etc.*

The combination of the semes 'period' and 'glory' (*a state of great gratification or exaltation; extreme happiness or prosperity*) gathers the words into **SR₂₀**.

SR₂₁ (2.4%) is headed by **happening** (*something that occurs*) with the reference to LSV₇ of time, e.g.:

21 *occurrence, event, occasion (LSV₁), episode (LSV₂), round (LSV₂), experience (LSV₁), hap instance, case, clip.*

The units of **SR₂₁** are united by the complex seme 'something that happens' (*to have existence or come into existence*). The nominations *instance, case* and *clip* form **SR_{21.1}**, as the meanings additionally contain a component 'example' (*of something happening*).

SR₂₂ (3.2%) is characterized by the SD **process** (*a series of changes that happen naturally; the course of time*) with its reference to LSV₁₁ of time:

22 *alteration, transformation, progress, development, flow, progression, advance, succession, evolution, etc.*

The complex seme that unites **SR₂₂** is 'a series of changes'.

SR₂₃ (8.3%) is led by the SD **situation** (*the set of conditions (a particular state of being or existence) that exist at a particular time in a particular place*). The SR is in the frame of the LSV_{8.1} of the lexeme *time*:

23 *condition(s), position, circumstance(s), factor, (the) way, matrix, matters, background, the score, (the way of) life, fortunes, kettle of fish, etc.*

The SD **opportunity** (*an occasion or situation (the physical state of something) that makes it possible to do something that you want to do or have to do*) is a leading unit of **SR₂₄** (3.9%) which refers to LSV₃, e.g.:

24 *golden opportunity, moment (LSV₂), season (LSV₃), day (LSV₂), place, opening (LSV₂), window (of opportunity) (LSV₂), show, go, inning(s), etc.*

SR₂₅ (0.9%) has **existence** (*the state of being a real or living thing, or being present in a particular place, time, or situation*) as a SD with the reference to LSV₈:

25 *life (LSV₂), subsistence, presence (LSV₂).*

Semantically subordinate to LSV_{6.2} of time, **SR₂₆** (1.1%) possesses the SD **rate of pay** (*the amount of money workers are paid per hour, week, etc.; an hourly pay rate*):

26 *pay rate, (basic / base) wage, wages.*

The component ‘**amount** (*a quantity of something*) of money workers are paid’ is a complex seme uniting these nominations into SR₂₆.

SR₂₇ (2.2%) is headed by the SD **tempo** (*rate or speed; characteristic rate, rhythm, or pattern of work or activity*) with its LSV₉ of *time* orientation:

27 *rhythm, beat, metre / meter, cadence, pulse, measure, pace, stress, accent.*

The seme ‘**rate**’ (*a quantity, amount, or degree of something measured per unit of something else; the number of times something happens within a particular period of time*) is pivotal to the units of SR₂₇.

As the research proves, the established SRs are united through a great variety of semantic ties. Firstly, we shall deal with the *obligatory ties* of the CNF of *time*.

Hyper-hyponymic relations can be demonstrated on the example of the units of SR₂ with an integral seme ‘amount of time’, which are hypernyms to the units of SR₄ and SR₆ with the components ‘indefinite’ and ‘long period’ / ‘short period’ being at a higher level of hierarchical organization. The logic of the presentation of the established relations (twenty-nine cases) is that the first SR stands for a hyperonym, while the second SR is viewed as a hyponym:

- 1 SR₂ and SR₄–SR₆;
- 2 SR₆ and SR₇–SR₂₀;
- 3 SR_{6.1} and SR_{6.2}, SR_{6.3};
- 4 SR_{6.1} and SR_{6.1.1};
- 5 SR_{6.2} and SR_{6.2.1};
- 6 SR_{6.3} and SR_{6.3.1};
- 7 SR₈ and SR₉;
- 8 SR_{13.1} and SR_{13.2};
- 9 SR_{14.1} and SR_{14.2}, SR_{14.3}, SR_{14.4};
- 10 SR_{15.1} and SR_{15.2};
- 11 SR₂₃ and SR₂₄.

As an example of **intersecting**, there is a unit *lifetime* that belongs to both SR₄ and SR₁₂. While it denotes *a very long period of time* (LED) in SR₄, it names *the duration of a person’s life* (LED) in SR₁₂. Therefore, SR₄ and SR₁₂ intersect on the basis of the nomination *lifetime*. There are seventeen examples revealed between the SRs:

- 1 SR₂ and SR₂₃ (*way*);
- 2 SR₄ and SR₁₂ (*lifetime*);
- 3 SR_{6.1} and SR₂₄ (*season, day*);
- 4 SR_{6.1.1} and SR₂₄ (*moment*);
- 5 SR_{13.1} and SR₂₄ (*opening*);
- 6 SR_{6.2} and SR_{6.3} (*interlude*);
- 7 SR_{6.2} and SR₂₁ (*occasion, episode, round*);
- 8 SR₉ and SR_{13.1} (*era, epoch*);
- 9 SR₁₂ and SR₂₃, and 10. SR₂₅ (*life*);
- 10 SR_{13.1} and SR₂₀ (*prime*);
- 11 SR₁₈ and SR₁₉ (*hitch*);
- 12 SR₈ and SR₂₀ (*the good old days*);
- 13 SR₂₀ and SR₉ (*floruit*);
- 14 SR₂₀ and SR_{13.1} (*breakthrough*).

As for *partitive* relations, an example of the tie between SR₆ (with an integral seme 'period'), SR_{13.1} ('beginning') and SR_{14.1} ('end') can be demonstrated because a *period* is a *particular length of time with a beginning and an end* (LDCE). The logic of the presentation of the established ties (twelve cases) is the same: 1) SR₆ and SR_{13.1}, SR_{14.1}; 2) SR₁₂ and SR_{13.2}, SR_{14.2}, SR_{14.3}, SR_{14.4}, SR₁₅–SR₂₀.

As we see, hyper-hyponymic relations are the dominant type of obligatory ties in the CNF of *time*.

All the subtypes of *optional ties* were established. The subtype of *convergence* is realized in 1) *phase* relations (between 1. SR₈, SR₁₀, SR₁₁, and 2. SR₁₀, SR₁₃, SR₁₄); 2) *attraction* (SR₁₆ and SR₂₆); 3) *reminiscent* relations (between SR_{14.1} and SR₂₅; SR_{14.2} and SR₁₂; SR_{14.4} and SR_{6.2.1}); 4) *temporal* relations (SR₂₁–SR₂₅ and SR₆–SR₁₂).

Divergence is demonstrated by the *antonymic (polar)* relations between

- 1 SR₃ and SR₆;
- 2 SR₄ and SR₅;
- 3 SR_{6.2} and SR_{6.3.1};
- 4 SR₈ and SR₁₁;
- 5 SR_{13.1} and SR_{14.1};
- 6 SR_{13.2} and SR_{14.2};
- 7 SR₁₅ and SR₁₆.

When it comes to the polarity in the lexical structure of the same unit, then this phenomenon is called *enantiosemy* (Melikyan et al., 2016, p. 129). As the results show, lexeme *time* turns out to be a bright example of enantiosemy.

The *inconsistency* was revealed between 1) SR_{14.4} and SR₂₄; 2) SR₁₉ and SR₂₀.

The *opposition* between the SRs of *time* is absent. Thus, convergence (forty-one cases) is the most represented subtype of the ties with dominating temporal relations.

Undoubtedly, all the SRs of the CNF of *time* are interlinked. After establishing all the ties, we have reconstructed a hierarchically organized semantic network of the CNF of *time* (see Fig. 1). It is clear that the SRs verbalize a complex system of four hypersemes: 1) 'progress of existence ('time'), reification'; 2) 'quantity' (a blue circle on Fig. 1); 3) 'something that happens' (a yellow circle on Fig. 1), 4) 'state' (a pink circle on Fig. 1). The singled out semantic components are of the highest degree of generalization as they represent the basic features of the verbalized notion of time.

The combinations of the hypersemes determine the semantics of *time* (LSV) and are present in the meaning of every synonym of the CNF of *time*. Let us take a closer look at the semantic components. The complex seme 'progress of existence ('time'), reification' is an integral component on the background of which all the other hypersemes are profiled. It is an inseparable union of the semes that fixes the attempt of English-speaking people to understand the abstract essence of *time* phenomenon in more concrete forms, as, for example, the units of SR₁, SR₃, SR₁₄, SR₂₂, etc. brightly demonstrate. The component 'quantity' is a hyperseme for the units of SR₂–SR₂₀ and SR₂₆, SR₂₇. The seme 'something that happens' is a hyperseme for SR₂₁, SR₂₂. The semantic component 'state' is a hyperseme for SR₂₃–SR₂₅.

The semes construct different configurations in the semantics of the synonyms. One of the hypersemes becomes a dominant one in this or that LSV, while the other semes specify it. For example, the definitions of the units of SR₇–SR₂₀ with the hyperseme 'quantity' contain the component 'something that happens' as a hyposeme, whereas the seme 'quantity' functions as a hyposeme in SR₂₂–SR₂₅.

It is time to shed light on the associative interpretation of the notion of time embodied in its synonyms. The union of its notional features 'progress of existence' ('time') and 'reification' is primarily based upon the analogy between time and living beings, objects or phenomena. It makes the meanings of a number of the units, for example, of SR₁, metaphorical (e.g., *Father Time, that old bald cheater, the soul of the world, the devourer of things*, etc.). The units that actualize 'quantity' (e.g., SR₂–SR₂₀) also tend to represent metaphorical connections of the notions of time and objects of reality (e.g., *fullness of time, twinkle, the sands of time*).




Fig. 1 The semantic network of the CNF of the notion of time in present-day English

The lexemes of SR₂₁ and SR₂₅ that disclose the notional features of ‘state’ (e.g., *imprisonment, glory days, experience, one’s last hour*, etc.) and ‘something that happens’ (e.g., *progress, chance, evolution, advance*, etc.), or their intersection, in the meanings, realize metonymical connections.

The semantics of the lexical units of the CNF of *time* can also reveal both metaphorical and metonymical relations observed, for example, in SR₂–SR₂₀, SR₂₃, SR₂₆ (e.g., *great divide, a dog’s age, one’s days, hiatus, kettle of fish, window, bloom, page, summit*, etc.). SR₂₇ is an exceptional row. Although it is connected with ‘quantity’, it is metonymical to SR₂₁. The other examples of the metonymical shift are noticed in the case of SR₂₁ in regard to SR₂₁₁, and SR₁₆ in regard to SR₂₆.

Conclusions

In this paper, the noun lexemes that verbalize the notion of time in present-day English were established, analyzed and grouped into synonymic rows within the CNF of *time* headed by the lexeme *time*. The semantic network of the CNF was revealed and thus the contents of the notion of time was reconstructed.

The starting point for the research was the study of the key lexeme *time*, which was discovered to possess nine LSVs. The selection of the synonyms to these LSVs from the contemporary English lexicographical sources enabled us, first, to define the composition of the CNF of *time* (456 units), and, second, to construct twenty-seven SRs of its structure with such SDs as *time* (SR₁), *period* (SR_{2,6,9}), *infinity* (SR₃), *aeon* (SR₄), *moment* (SR₅), *season* (SR₇), *past* (SR₈), *present* (SR₁₀), *future* (SR₁₁), *life* (SR₁₂), *beginning* (SR₁₃), *end* (SR₁₄), *spare time* (SR₁₅), *work* (SR₁₆), *apprenticeship* (SR₁₇), *enlistment* (SR₁₈), *imprisonment* (SR₁₉), *heyday* (SR₂₀), *happening* (SR₂₁), *process* (SR₂₂), *situation* (SR₂₃), *opportunity* (SR₂₄), *existence* (SR₂₅), *rate of pay* (SR₂₆), *tempo* (SR₂₇). It should be noted that SR₆ (13.2% of all the lexical units) and SR_{20,23} (8.3%) are the largest among the SRs, whereas SR_{7,17} (0.4%) and SR₂₅ (0.9%) are the smallest sets of the CNF.

The SRs are formed on the basis of the central hypersemes, which organize the semantic network of the CNF of *time*, namely 'progress of existence' ('time'), 'reification'; 'quantity'; 'something that happens' and 'state'. These semes are of the highest degree of generalization and represent the main features of the notion of time expressed in the semantics of the lexical units of the CNF.

The research proves that all the hypersemes are profiled on the background of the semantic union of 'progress of existence ('time'), reification'. This is because various aspects of *time* fixed by the synonyms are interpreted in terms of 'quantity' in close connection to 'something that happens' and 'state'. It is clear that to understand the phenomenon of *time*, the notions of less abstract things are actively employed by English-speaking people by association. As a result, the nominations of *time* represent – metaphorically and metonymically – such aspects of reality as living beings or objects, their qualitative and quantitative measurement, events or particular conditions that someone or something is in. In sum, it also means that obligatory and optional ties between the SRs of the CNF of *time* coexist with the metaphorical and metonymical connections revealed in the synonyms. The results of the research demonstrate that the semantic network of the CNF is mainly based on hyper-hyponymic relations as the most fundamental among the other semantic ties.

The proposed study will be continued. It is necessary to carry out further research on the other constituents (adjectival, adverbial, verbal) of the nominative field of *time* to widen our understanding of *time* interpretation fixed in present-day English.

References

- 1 Afanasieva, O. V. (2007). Semanticheskaya struktura koncepta "VREMYA" i ego otrazhenie vo frazeologicheskikh sistemah anglijskogo, ispanskogo i russkogo yazykov [The Semantic Structure of the Notion of TIME and its Reflection in Phraseological Systems of English, Spanish and Russian]. [Doctoral dissertation, The University of Kazan]. [In Russian]. Retrieved 19 March 2020 from <https://www.dissercat.com/content/semanticheskaya-struktura-kontseptta-vremya-i-ee-otrazhenie-vo-frazeologicheskikh-sistemakh-a>.
- 2 Barcelona, A. (2002). Clarifying and applying the notions of metaphor and metonymy within cognitive linguistics: an update. In R. Dirven (Ed.), *Cognitive linguistic research. Metaphor and metonymy in comparison and contrast*, 20, (pp. 207-279). Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- 3 Bondarenko, Ye. V. (2014). Matrychnoe modelirovaniye. Dualnostj vremeny v anglojazychnoj kartyne myra [Matrix Modelling. Time Duality in the English Picture of the World]. Kharkov: KhNU imeny V.N. Karazyna. [In Russian].
- 4 Borysov, O. O. (2010). Krytyka rozuminnia terminu "kontsept" u suchasni linhvistytsi [The criticism of understanding of the term "the concept" in modern linguistics]. *Funktsionalnaya lingvistika*, 1(1), 63-65. [in Ukrainian].
- 5 Borysov, O. O. (2005). Movni zasoby vyrazhennia emotsiinoho kontseptu Strakh: linhvokohnityvnyi aspekt (na materialii suchasnoi anhlomovnoi khudozhnoi prozy) [Language Means of the Emotional Concept FEAR Representation: Linguocognitive Approach (on the Material of Present-day English Belles-lettres)]. [Doctoral Dissertation,

- Donetsk National University]. [In Ukrainian]. Retrieved 19 March 2020 from <http://www.disslib.org/movni-zasoby-vyrazhenja-emotsiynoho-kontseptu-strakh-linhvokohnityvnyi-aspekt.html>.
- 6 Evans, V. (2013). *Language and time. A cognitive linguistics approach*. New York: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107340626>
 - 7 Evans, V. (2005). *The structure of time: Language, meaning and temporal cognition*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
 - 8 Evans, V., & Green, M. (2006). *Cognitive linguistics: An introduction*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
 - 9 Filipec, J. (1994). Lexicology and lexicography: development and state of the research. In Ph. Luellsdorff (Ed.), *The Prague School of Structural and Functional Linguistics* (pp. 163-185). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/llsee.41.06fil>
 - 10 Goddard, C. (2001). Lexico-semantic universals: a critical overview. *Linguistic Typology*, 5, 1-65. <https://doi.org/10.1515/lity.5.1.1>
 - 11 Goddard, C. (2002). The ongoing development of the NSM research program. In C. Goddard & A. Wierzbicka (Eds.), *Meaning and universal grammar: Theory and empirical findings*, 2, (pp. 301-321). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/slcs.61.11god>
 - 12 Goldberg, V. B. (1988). Kontrastivnyj analiz leksiko-semanticheskikh grupp [A Contrastive Analysis of Lexico-semantic Groups]. Tambov: TGPI. [In Russian].
 - 13 Hartley, R., & Barnden, J. (1997). Semantic networks: visualizations of knowledge. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 1(5), 169-175. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(97\)01057-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(97)01057-7)
 - 14 Hawking, S. (2011). *A brief history of time*. London: Bantam Press.
 - 15 Huang, S. (2016). Time as space metaphor in *Isbukun Bunun*: a semantic analysis. *Oceanic Linguistics*, 55(1), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1353/ol.2016.0002>
 - 16 Janda, L. A. (2013). Time and space in parallel streams: in place of an introduction. *Russian Linguistics*, 37(3), 219-228. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11185-013-9119-5>
 - 17 Khairullina, D. (2018). Concept vs notion and lexical meaning: what is the difference? *Journal of History Culture and Art Research*, 7(5), 303-313. <https://doi.org/10.7596/taksad.v7i5.1929>
 - 18 Kövecses, Z. (2015). Surprise as a conceptual category. *Review of Cognitive Linguistics*, 13(2), 270-290. <https://doi.org/10.1075/rcl.13.2.01kov>
 - 19 Lakoff, G. (1993). The contemporary theory of metaphor. In A. Ortony (Ed.), *Metaphor and thought* (pp. 203-251). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139173865.013>
 - 20 Lyons, J. (1995). *Linguistic semantics: An introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511810213>
 - 21 Makarova, A., & Nessel, T. (2013). Space-time asymmetries: Russian v 'in(to)' and the North Slavic temporal adverbial continuum. *Russian Linguistics*, 37(3), 317-345. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11185-013-9115-9>
 - 22 Melikyan, V. Yu, Melikyan, A. V., & Vakulenko, D. A. (2016). Enantiosemy phenomenon: system and speech parameterization. *Voprosy Kognitivnoy Lingvistiki*, 1, 128-134. <https://doi.org/10.20916/1812-3228-2016-1-128-134>
 - 23 Mihalskiy, A. V. (2016). *Psihologiya vremeni (Hronopsihologiya) [The Psychology of Time (Chronopsychology)]*. Moskva: MPGU. [in Russian].
 - 24 Moghaddas, B., & Dekhnich, O. V. (2015). The Philosophy of structuralism in language and linguistics. *Nauchnyj rezultat. Voprosy Teoreticheskoy i Prikladnoy Lingvistiki*, 1(4), 24-29. <https://doi.org/10.18413/2313-8912-2015-1-4-24-29>
 - 25 Nilsen, Ye. A. (2010). *Struktura ponyatiynoj sostavlyayushchey koncepta VREMYA v sovremennom anglijskom yazyke [The Structure of the Notional Constituent of the Concept of Time in Present-day English]*. *Voprosy Kognitivnoy Lingvistiki*, 3(24), 20-27. [in Russian].
 - 26 Nordlander, J. (1997). *Towards a semantics of linguistic time. Exploring some basic time concepts with special reference to English and Krio [Doctoral dissertation, Umeå University]*. Retrieved 1 August 2020 from <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:623710/FULLTEXT02.pdf>.
 - 27 Palmer, R. (1976). *Semantics. A new outline*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- 28 Plungian, V. A., & Rakhilina, E. V. (2013). Time and speed: where do speed adjectives come from? *Russian Linguistics*, 37(3), 347-359. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11185-013-9117-7>
- 29 Rastall, P. (2006). Language as communication, pattern and information. *La Linguistique*, 42, 19-36. <https://doi.org/10.3917/ling.421.0019>
- 30 Tatsenko, N. V. (2009). Zasoby realizacii meghakonceptiv PROSTIR, CHAS, INFORMACIJA v suchasnij anghlijskij movi (na materialii innovacij virtualnoji realjnosti) [The Means of Mega Concepts Space, Time and Information Realization in Present-day English (on the Material of Virtual Reality Innovations)]. [Doctoral thesis, V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University]. [In Ukrainian]. Retrieved 30 May 2020 from <https://dissertation.com.ua/node/679264>.
- 31 Vasylieva, O. (2016). Peculiarities of language personality structuring. *Traektoriâ Nauki*, 2(12), 4.14-4.17. <https://doi.org/10.22178/pos.17-8>
- 32 Wierzbicka, A. (1996). *Semantics: Primes and Universals*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Dictionaries

- 1 The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (AHDEL). Retrieved from <https://ahdictionary.com>
- 2 Cambridge Dictionary (CD). Retrieved from <https://dictionary.cambridge.org>
- 3 Chambers Thesaurus (CT). Retrieved from <https://chambers.co.uk/thesauruses>
- 4 Chambers 21st Century Dictionary (CCD). Retrieved from <https://chambers.co.uk>.
- 5 Collins English Dictionary (CED). Retrieved from <https://www.collinsdictionary.com/dictionary/english>
- 6 Collins English Thesaurus (CET). Retrieved from <https://www.collinsdictionary.com/dictionary/english-thesaurus>
- 7 Dictionary.com (DC). Retrieved from <https://www.dictionary.com>
- 8 The Free Dictionary by Farlex (FDF). Retrieved from <https://www.thefreedictionary.com>
- 9 Lexico English Dictionary (LED). Retrieved from https://www.lexico.com/en?search_filter=dictionary
- 10 Lexico English Thesaurus (LET). Retrieved from https://www.lexico.com/en?search_filter=ote
- 11 Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English (LDCE). Retrieved from <https://www.ldo-ceonline.com/>
- 12 Macmillan Dictionary (MD). Retrieved from <https://www.macmillandictionary.com>
- 13 Macmillan Thesaurus (MT). Retrieved from <https://www.macmillanthesaurus.com>
- 14 Merriam-Webster Dictionary (MWD). Retrieved from <https://www.merriam-webster.com>
- 15 Merriam-Webster Thesaurus (MWT). Retrieved from <https://www.merriam-webster.com/thesaurus>
- 16 Oxford Learner's Dictionaries (OLD). Retrieved from <https://www.oxfordlearnersdictionaries.com>
- 17 The Paperback Oxford English Dictionary (POED). (2002). Soanes, C. (Ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
- 18 Roget's Thesaurus (RT). Retrieved from <http://www.roget.org/index.htm>
- 19 Sensagent-Dictionary (SD). Retrieved from <http://dictionary.sensagent.com>
- 20 Thesaurus.com (TC). Retrieved from <https://www.thesaurus.com>
- 21 WordNet. A Lexical Database for English (WNLDE). Retrieved from <http://wordnetweb.princeton.edu/perl/webwn>

Santrauka

Marija Mai, Oleksii Borysov. „Laiko“ sąvokos verbalizacija šiuolaikinėje anglų kalboje

Universali „laiko“ sąvoka kartu su pagrindine „erdvės“ sąvoka lemia daugybės kitų žmogaus sąmonės sampratų formavimąsi. *Laiko* interpretacijos rezultatai fiksuojami visų pirma nacionalinių kalbų leksinių vienetų semantikoje. Šio tyrimo naujovė yra ta, kad buvo nustatyti ir išanalizuoti šiuolaikinės anglų kalbos leksemos *time* daiktavardžių sinonimai, o po to jie sugrupuoti į sinonimų eiles vardinio lauko „laikas“ šerdies ribose.

Sinonimų eilių konstravimo gaire buvo pasirinkta polisemantinės lekšemos *time* struktūra, susidedanti iš devynių leksinių–semantinių variantų. Vardinio lauko „laikas“ šerdies semantinis tinklas buvo atrastas ir vizualizuotas remiantis nustatytais privalomaisiais ir pasirenkamaisiais egzistuojančiais tarp jų santykiais, tarp kurių vyrauja hiperonimiai ir hiponiminiai santykiai. Tinklas remiasi keturiomis centrinėmis hipersemomis, kurios atspindi pagrindinius „laiko“ sąvokos, verbalizuojamos šiuolaikinės anglų kalbos daiktavardžių vienetais, ypatumus. Buvo nustatyta aiški sinonimų tendencija metaforiškai ir metonimiškai reikšti *laiką* ‘kiekio’ terminais glaudžiai siejant su komponentais ‘kažkas vyksta’ ir ‘būsena’.

About the Authors

MARIIA MAI

Master's Degree in Cultural Studies,
Philological Faculty, T. H. Shevchenko
National University "Chernihiv Colehium",
Chernihiv, Ukraine

Research interests

General linguistics, structural linguistics,
cognitive linguistics

Address

H. Polubotka, 53, Chernihiv 14000 Ukraine

E-mail

merrymay0409@gmail.com

Orcid iD

0000-0002-0087-3202

OLEKSII BORYSOV

Doctor of Sciences (Linguistics), Associate
Professor, Philological Faculty, T. H.
Shevchenko National University "Chernihiv
Colehium", Chernihiv, Ukraine

Research interests

Structural linguistics, cognitive linguistics,
contrastive linguistics, dialogical studies

Address

H. Polubotka, 53, Chernihiv 14000 Ukraine

E-mail

neapol.1985@gmail.com

Orcid iD

0000-0001-6159-199X

