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Abstract. The question of using synonyms in translating the Qur'an is a thorny issue that led to both different interpretations and different translations of the holy text. No matter how accurate or professional a translator attempts to be, Quranic translation has always been fraught with inaccuracies and the skewing of sensitive theological, cultural and historical connotations owing to the peculiar mechanism of stress, semantico-syntactic ambiguity, prosodic and acoustic features, the mesh of special rhetorical texture and culture-bound references. Consequently, in most of the English interpretations of the Quran, cases of non-equivalence and untranslatability will be more frequent with plenty of scope for ambiguities, obscurities and fuzzy boundaries. The trend has been to accept exegetical translation based on commentary and explanation of the Quranic discourse. Since there is no uniform book of exegesis, translations are considered to be glosses or approximates for non-Arabic speaking Muslims. This study is mainly concerned with assessing the criteria and strategies used by different Quran translators in selecting synonyms to render Quranic polysemous words. The linguistic-cultural context of the original polysemous ST word will be analyzed and compared with its TT near-synonyms. The study argues that in translating religious texts where synonyms are usually used to convey implicated meanings of ST polysemous words and where we seek to have the same effect on the Target Language receiver as that of the original, the use of functional ideational equivalence is given primacy over formal equivalence.
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Introduction

Translators of sensitive texts such as religious scripture operate in contexts which can foster ambiguity, contradiction and misunderstanding, all of which are resolvable only in relation to the context and background of each particular verse. The notion of impartiality and neutrality has long been a crucial ethical controversy. As early as the third century BC, the translation of the Torah was never considered satisfactory partly because there was no standard original manuscript and translators freely included paraphrases and exegetical material. Generally speaking, early scripture translations were not read as texts in their own right but rather as aids to comprehension (Baker, 1998, pp.269–70). This paper propounds an alternative view whereby instead of encouraging translators to remain invisible, it calls for the recognition of the crucial role of the translator's interactive involvement in selecting the appropriate exegetical interpretation. In case of the Quran, the translator will often be confronted with culture-bound expressions that lead to coherence shifts in the TT reader perception. The translator's task is to fill any cultural void that may impede the TT readers' interpretability of the TT. Consequently, many translators of the Quran resort to periphrases, intertextual insertions and lengthy introductions and footnotes in order to bridge cultural gaps and thereby reduce TT coherence shifts.

Most translations of the Quran are source language oriented; because of the sensitive nature of the Holy text, accommodating TT readers is not an option (Baker, 2001, p.201). Therefore, translations of religious texts are characterized by formal overloading (Nida, 1981, p.71), archaism and over adherence to SL lexical peculiarities such as repetition and synonymy. Such are the traits of the translations by Bell (1937), Pickthall (1969), Arberry (1980), Asad (1980) and Ali (1983) whose aim was to maintain the rhetorical strategies and mystical effects of the Holy Scripture. Communicative translations using contemporary 'simple' English were used in the translations by Akbar (1978), Irving (1985) and Turner (1997) with the aim of making the translations intelligible to the masses and the young generation.

No matter how accurate or professional a translator attempts to be, Quranic translation has always been fraught with inaccuracies and the skewing of sensitive theological, cultural and historical connotations owing to the peculiar mechanism of stress, semantico-syntactic ambiguity, prosodic and acoustic features, the mesh of special rhetorical texture and culture-bound references. In order to select the best synonym for a given context or verse a translator has to refer to major Quranic exegeses in order to understand the underlying implication of a word, conjunction or even particle. Consequently, different exegetical analyses have led to variations in the choice of 'synonymous' yet not exactly identical lexical items in the target language. In view of the culture-bound schemata of the Quran, covert translation variations (or errors) are only discernible when various translations are compared with the original and its exegeses.
The question of whether or not the Quran uses synonymy is a very thorny issue that invites both different interpretations and different translations of the holy text. By definition, synonymous words should be substitutable in the utterance without affecting their conceptual meaning (Lyons, 1968, p.446). Yet, despite cases of overlap in their core meaning, linguistic semantics dictate that there should be no absolute synonymy among words for that will result in a breach of the economy of language. Larson (1984, p.73, 57) notes that there are sets of words which are synonymous in their fuzzy core meaning even though each may contain subtle positive or negative overtones. One may be more formal and another less formal; one word may be appropriate in one situation and the other appropriate in a different situation. Accordingly, an exact translation is probably impossible for every rendition will omit some sense or express some other possibly unwanted sense. Faithful translation, therefore, requires a sophisticated corpus-based lexical choice that can determine which of the synonyms provided by one language for a word in another language is the closest or most appropriate in any particular situation (cf. Edmonds & Hirst, 2002).

This will naturally entail that upon transferring synonyms in between different languages we should expect partial synonymy instead of exact equivalence. Even words that are assumed to be translation equivalents differ in the degree of their distance from or proximity to the stem meaning. In order to avoid obscure renditions translators may prefer to explicate by rendering one word of the source language by several words in the receptor language as a means of disambiguating ST meanings. In sensitive texts such as the Quran, translations represent “varying degrees of paraphrase” (Nida, 1997, p.95). Coupled with exegetical inaccuracies of the contextual and connotative meanings, cases of non-equivalence and untranslatability will be more frequent. This is particularly true when we consider semantic and lexical gaps that may exist in the TL for the SL cultural and linguistic peculiarities, ethnic practices, historical references as well as the stylistic and figurative aspects. Therefore, it is not uncommon to find cases of class, unit and structure shifts to compensate for the TL's voids (Catford, 1965, pp.76–9).

Divergence in transposing the possible connotations and synonyms of ST words is a common feature of Quranic translations. Robinson (1996, p.4) remarks that Quranic translations are sometimes inconsistent in rendering a given word in a variety of different ways that makes it difficult for the reader to appreciate the coherence of individual suras and that of the Quran as a whole. Such variations in translation reflect the different ways of understanding the Quran and its exegeses by different translators. Therefore, our study will assess the interpretations of five of the best known translations of the Quran in terms of their effective rendering of polysemy, synonymy and recurrence into the target language (TL). These translations were carried out by Ali (1973) Translation of the Meanings of the Glorious Quran, Pickthall (1930) The Meaning of the Holy Quran, Asad (1980, 2003) The Message of the Quran, Shakir (1962) The Holy Quran and Arberry (1980) The Koran Interpreted.

The difficulties of translating the Quran spring not only from the variations in the connotations of the selected synonyms but rather from infringing upon the rapport between sound and meaning which is a hallmark feature of the holy text both at the micro and macro levels. Therefore, a pragmatic translation of the meanings of the Quran would give approximate linguistic and rhetorical patterns for communicative purposes without claiming to be a true translation of the underlying subtleties of the original. Unlike redundant synonyms and recurrences in political texts, synonymous expressions in the Quran are used to convey certain implicated meanings which can only be rendered efficiently if the translator resorts to communicative functional equivalence. This is why Quranic 'translations' are often annexed with footnotes and marginal explanations to disambiguate the original meaning and supplement the translation with other possible interpretations.

This study is mainly concerned with how different translators dealt with Quranic words that seem to represent a case of synonymy. The study investigates the strategies used by different Quran translators in translating these words in selected verses, and analyzes the contexts where each pair occurs with a view to evaluating these translations. The linguistic-cultural context of the original synonyms will be analyzed whereby selected parallel translations of pairs of near-synonyms in the Quran are compared.

Functional Recurrence

Recurrence is a form of lexical cohesion achieved through the reiteration of a lexical item by way of using complete or partial recurrence, synonyms, near-synonyms, superordinates or collocations (Halliday, 1985, p.310; Halliday & Hasan, 1976, p.278). Arabic uses recurrence as one of the most important devices of textual cohesion and rhetoric while English avoids repetition by using substitution, ellipsis or references (Aziz, 1998, p.107). Despite the presence of cases of tautology and prolixity in Arabic poetry and literature, not all instances of recurrence are semantically redundant in the TT. In the translation of Holy Books and religious texts where translators should preserve the same functions and effects of the Holy Scripture, a lexical item repeated in the same context must be correspondingly repeated in the TT unless the original is poorly or loosely written (Newmark, 1981, p.147).

Deliberate motivated recurrence of a word in the same text with certain functions contributes to the efficiency of a text (de Beaugrande and Dressler, 1981, pp.134–5). This study is mainly concerned with motivated ‘informative' recurrence in the Quran that serves a specific function such as establishing a pervasive motif, emphasizing a certain concept (e.g. praise, guidance, warning, and intimidation) or explicating an ambiguous context. One key method of deciphering the function of a recurrent phrase or lexical item is to look for polysemous words that may be repeated with variant nuances in each case. When no compatible polysemous nuances exist
in English the use of recurrence in the TT may lead to a monotonous literal repetition that loses the impressive style of the Quran. In such cases, other translation strategies, such as using footnotes, paraphrases, substitution, translation couplets may be introduced (Hannouna, 2010, p.109). Sometimes, translators will resort to the use of synonyms to avoid repetition, clarify the meaning of another lexical item or provide additional comments about the topic.

The analyses of recurrence cases in the present study indicate that the translations of the Quran by Ali, Asad and Irving show less repetition and more annotation. They focus on reflecting the function of repetition by using more varieties of words and meanings and giving primacy to the informative over the aesthetic aspect of the holy text. In comparison, Pickthall's, Arberry's and Shakir's are more literal as they attempt to be more economical by preserving the same forms and wordings of the ST. Their translations include a reproduction of the ST complete and partial recurrences which at times sound semantically redundant and less informative than the ST owing to the incompatibility between the ST and the TT in the nuances of recurrent polysemous words.

An example of functional recurrence occurs in surat al-Waaqi‘ah (Q 56, 10):

```
Wa-l-saabiqoon al-saabiqoon 'ulaa'ika l-muqarraboon.

Wa-l-saabiqoon al-saabiqoon 'ulaa'ika l-muqarraboon.
```

According to the Quran exegesis by al-Tabari (Tafsir al-Tabari, 2000), the foremost in doing good (early Muslims i.e. the Muhajireen ‘migrants’) are the foremost in their reward in the hereafter. On the other hand, Ibn Katheer (1993) states that the saabiqoon are the prophets, martyrs and companions of the prophets although both include other categories such as those who race to the mosque for prayer, the first in doing good deeds and the most fearing of God. Ibn Katheer draws an analogy with verse 21 in surat al-Hadeed wherein the verb saabiqoo ‘race, be foremost’ is addressed to all Muslims:

```
Kallaa idhaa dukkat al-ardu dakkan dakkaa

Kallaa idhaa dukkat al-ardu dakkan dakkaa
```

Far from being pleonastic, the repetition of the word دكَة dakkan is first done as a case of partial recurrence in relation to the verb دكَت dukat. Thus the first occurrence of دكَت dukat constitutes a grammatical case of cognate accusative which is rendered in English by an adjectival or adverbial intensifier. Therefore, the mere repetition of the word in the TT renders it void of its grammatical and semantic function as we find in the translation by Asad and Pickthall:

- Asad: “Nay, but [how will you fare on Judgment Day] when the earth is crushed with crushing upon crushing.”
- Pickthall: “Nay, but when the earth is ground to atoms, grinding, grinding.”

However, Shakir, Ali, Arberry and Irving use adverbial intensifiers and/ or other synonyms in order to preserve the grammatical and semantic function of the cognate accusative and reproduce the same degree of effectiveness of the SL text.

```
- Shakir: “Nay! When the earth is made to crumble to pieces.”
- Ali: “Nay! When the earth is pounded to powder.”
- Arberry: “No indeed! When the earth is ground to powder.”
- Irving: “Indeed when the earth has been completely flattened out.”
```

The verse also includes a complete recurrence in دكَت dakkan (literally, “a bang after a bang”) denoting that the earth will be pounded repeatedly, forcefully and may be for quite a long time until it is ground to powder. Indeed the onomatopoeic segmental sounds دكَت dakkan emuluate the action of constant and loud banging which emphasizes the emphatic function of ‘warning’ and ‘threatening’ regarding the woes on the Day of Reckoning. In order to preserve the ‘aesthetic’ function along with the emphatic one we may suggest a combination of recurrence and the insertion of an intensifier:

```
Indeed! When the earth is repeatedly pounded and pounded until it is completely flattened out.
```

Further, the aesthetic parallelism and the rhyming repetition of دكَت dakkan dakkan and saafan saafan [rank on the foremost [in faith and good works]]

- Ali: “And those Foremost (in Faith) will be Foremost (in the Hereafter).”

In the following example, functional recurrence is used in the context of emphasis, intimidation and warning of the situation on the Day of Reckoning (Hannouna, 2010, p.92).

```
(الفاجر Q 89, 21)

كلا إِذا دكت الأرض دكا

Kallaa idhaa dukkat al-ardu daka
```

```
When the earth is pounded repeatedly and crushed (into pieces)"
```

```
Be ye foremost (in seeking) forgiveness from your Lord, and a Garden (of Bliss), the width whereof is as the width of heaven and earth (Ali, 1989, p.1504)
```

```
Yet, three of the five interpretations of the Quran render the recurrence literally as if it were redundant.
```

```
- Pickthall: “And the foremost in the race, the foremost in the race.”
- Shakir: “And the foremost are the foremost.”
- Arberry: “And the Outstrippers: the Outstrippers.”
```

Only Asad and Ali provide lexical insertions to explain the reference to the exegesis:

- Asad: “But the foremost shall be [they who in life were]...
rank] accentuate the semantic contrast in the verse; thus after anarchy there comes order.

In *surat al-Mursalaat* (Q 77, 1–5)

والمرسلات وَرَفَا، فَالعاصفات عَصْفًا، وَالنَّاشرات نَشْرًا، فَالعَفَافِ فَراقًا

*Wa l-mursalati 'urfan. Fal'asifati 'asfan. Walnashirati nashran. Falfarigati farqan.*

- **Ali:** “By the Winds sent forth one after another (to man's profit) which then blow violently in tempestuous gusts, and scatter (things) far and wide, then separate them, one from another.”

- **Irving:** “By the [winds] sent forth for a purpose and gales that rage on and on, scattering things around; then dividing them all up.”

The ST employs the cognate accusative as well as partial and parallel recurrence in order to perform an emphatic and informative function in the context of threatening and warning of the Resurrection. Hannouna (102) considers the instances of partial recurrence in the above verse as rhetorical devices in the ST. If translated literally, she remarks, they become irritating and ineffective in English since they furnish more information than is required and, therefore, can be considered as a semantic redundancy. As in other verses, the cognate accusative can be rendered communicatively in the TT by both recurrence and the insertion of an intensifier. Consequently, it is an effective rhetorical and semantic device in Arabic that cannot be labeled as redundant. In the following translation we attempted to integrate some intensifiers into Ali's translation in order to compensate for the missing recurrent words in the TT.

“By the benevolent winds, which are sent forth one after another
And by the tempestuous winds that blow violently
By the winds that scatter things (clouds and pollen) far and wide
Then distinctly separate them, one from another.”

**Ambiguity, Polysemy and Synonymy**

One of the main obstacles to accurate translation is ambiguity resulting from puns of SL homonyms which are of the same form but of different meaning or polysemous words that refer to a number of concepts in a context-free environment. In non-sensitive texts the TL synonymous equivalents may be substituted for each other without a drastic change of the factual conditions or the core meaning of the overall text. In fact, many Arabic literary works, sermons and orations are reputed for their overuse of strings of synonyms and hendiadys for the purpose of stressing the emotive aspect of the text or explicating its meaning. Translating such parallel couplings in the TL may look redundant as English does not tolerate the proliferation of synonyms.

Since absolute synonymous equivalents in translation are rare, partial synonyms are often substituted depending on their denotative and connotative meaning (Bussmann et al., p.471). At the lexical level, the semantic gradation of synonyms reflects fine distinctions in their componential analysis, nuances, tones, attitudes, etc. The first synonym may not replace the second in the same context; sometimes nuances and attributes are used instead of the core word as in the attributes _adham_ 'black horse' and _jawaad_ 'fast horse' which are hyponyms of the generic word _hisaan_ 'horse' (Shehab, 2009, p.87). Consequently, TL equivalent(s) may not denote exactly the same range of concepts for a given ST polysemous word. The problem is aggravated in the presence of historical references and cultural nuances as in the case of sacred texts. Therefore, there are translation alternatives that different translators may choose from according to their own interpretation of context particularly when the cues from the adjacent words are not decisive enough.

The word _الخير_ in the verse _wa inanhu li-hubbi l-khayri la-shadeed_ is a good example of divergence due to ambiguity in translation (Abdul-Raof, 2001, p.34). Thus while Al-Qurtubi (1997, vol 20, p.151) gives it the correct equivalent of 'wealth', Turner (1997, p.370) provides a wrong translation as 'fast horses' whereas Arberry (1980, vol 2, p.349) gives an inappropriate meaning of 'good things'. As for _المتارْعَة_ (Q 2, 2), it is rendered by Bell (1937, vol 1, p.3) as those who act piously', Pickthall (p.24) 'those who ward off (evil)' Asad (p.3) 'the God-conscious', Arberry (vol 1, p.30) 'god-fearing' and by al-Hilali and Khan (p.3) as “those who are _al-muttaqoon_” and it is explicated in a long paraphrase as

“the pious and righteous people who fear Allah much (abstain from all kinds of sins and evil deeds which He has forbidden) and love Allah much (perform all kinds of good deeds which He has ordained).”

Abdul-Raof’s (2004) survey of existing English versions of the Quran shows that the most a translator aims at is the communication of the message without considering the idiosyncrasies and prototypical features of the Quranic discourse. The versatility of Quranic lexemes and styles were not captured in most of the English versions of the Quran. For example, the fine subtleties of Quranic synonymous nuances are best expressed in the words _سَنَة_ and _يَام_ which are both rendered as ‘year’ despite the fact that _سَنَة_ is often associated with suffering, perseverance and agony while _يَام_ is occasionally used in the context of benevolence and good deeds. This lexical void in English results in under-translating the implications of the Arabic verse. For example, _سَنَة_ is used with a negative sense to imply a long life of misery and affliction that will not benefit those who cling to life.

**Yawaddu ahdalhum law yu’ammuru alfa sanatin wamaa huwa bi-muzahzihihi mina l-’adhaabi**

- **Asad:** every one of them would love to live a thousand years, although the grant of long life could not save him from suffering [in the hereafter].

- **Ali:** Each one of them wishes He could be given a life of a thousand years: But the grant of such life will not save him from (due) punishment.
A similar sense of loss and agony is expressed by the word *sana* when the children of Israel were afflicted with forty years in the wilderness.

Qaala fa-'innaha muhramatun 'alayhim arba'a 'eea sanatan yateehoon faa'lartaa ta'aa 'ala al-qawmi l- faasiqueen (Q 5, 26).

- Asad: Answered He: Then, verily, this [land] shall be forbidden to them for forty years, while they wander on earth, bewildered, to and fro; and sorrow thou not over these inequitable folk.

- Ali: Allah said: Therefore will the land be out of their reach for forty years: In distraction will they wander through the land: But sorrow thou not over these rebellious people.

Yet, the word *aam* is associated with a promising year of bounteous harvest.

ثُمَا يَأْتِي مِن بَعْدَ ذَلِكَ أَمَامٌ يُغَاثُ النَّاسُ وَيَصَرُّونَ (Q 12, 49)

- Asad: And after that will come a year in which the people will be delivered from all distress, and in which they will press [oil and wine as before].

- Ali: Then will come after that (period) a year in which the people will have abundant water, and in which they will press (wine and oil).

In a similar vein, the Arabic words *ya's* and *qunoot* are partial synonyms since they differ in degree.

جَفَتَ أَسُوْمُ الْقُوْمِ (Q 39, 53).

- Asad: Do not lose hope of God's life-giving mercy: verily, none but people who deny the truth can ever lose hope of God's life-giving mercy."

- Pickthall: Despair not of the Spirit of Allah. Lo! none despaireth of the Spirit of Allah save disbelieving folk."

- Shakir: Despair not of Allah's mercy; surely none despair of Allah's mercy except the unbelieving people."

- Ali: Do not despair of God's mercy; none but those who deny the truth despair of God's mercy."

- Arberry: Do not despair of God's comfort; of God's comfort no man despairs, excepting the people of the unbelievers."

On the other hand, in verse 53 of surat az-Zummar God addresses those who have transgressed against themselves to believe in His mercy and not to be despondent by reaching the stage of utter despair. In this context, reaching the stage of 'utter despair' corresponds with reaching the extreme point of being 'extravagant' with oneself.

قل يَا عبَآدِي الَّذِينَ أَسْرَفَوا عَلَى أَنْفُسِهِمْ لا تَتَقَنَّطوا مِنْ رَحْمَةِ اللَّهِ (Q 39, 53).

- Asad: SAY: O my people who have been prodigal against your own selves! Despair not of God's mercy."

- Pickthall: Say: O My slaves who have been prodigal to their own hurt! Despair not of the mercy of Allah."

- Shakir: Say: O my servants! who have acted extravagantly against their own souls, do not despair of the mercy of Allah."

- Ali: Say: O my Servants who have transgressed against their souls! Despair not of the Mercy of Allah."

- Arberry: Say: O my people who have been prodigal against yourselves, do not despair of God's mercy."

Pickthall, Ali and Shakir render the word *yawsis* in the verse بُوَسِسُونَ in the verse من شر الوسواس الخناس الذي يوسوس في صدور الناس min shari al-waswaas al-khannas alladhee yawsisu fee sudoori l-naasu (Q 114, 4) as “whisper” which back-translates into Arabic as يَوْسِعُونَ or بُوَسِسُونَ. yawsis" to murmur in a soft voice” (Baalbaki, al-Mawrid 2000, p.1210). The connotations of the word *yawsis* extend to include the devil's secretive incitements, the inner self as it provokes man to commit sin and the iconic jingle of rattling jewelry. Since there is no exact one-to-one equivalent in the English lexicon, the equivalent "to whisper" lacks the essential connotations of the Arabic verb that include evil and incitement and the aesthetic 'his sing' sound of the ST that imply plotting in secrecy. Further, بُوَسِسُونَ yawsis may indicate mute incitements of the inner self. Contrary to the all-negative sense of the Arabic word *yawsis* that collocates with the devil, the English verb ‘to whisper’ may include a positive sense. In addition to “speaking quietly and
privately, as by way of gossip, slander, or intrigue” Collins Dictionary lists other definitions that associate with the rustle of leaves (Collins English Dictionary, 2011). In order to restrict the translation to the negative connotations of ‘whisper’, the three most popular translators of the Quran insert lexical modifiers:

- **Ali:** From the mischief of the Whisperer (of Evil), who withdraws (after his whisper)."
- **Pickthall:** From the evil of the sneaking whisperer.”
- **Shakir:** From the evil of the whisperings of the slinking (Shaitan)."

Polysemous words may create ambiguous word puns if used in successive recurrence in the same verse. Thus, in *surat Al-Room*, verse 55

"...and neither sky nor earth shed tears over them, nor were they allowed a respite” (Asad).

"And of His portents is this: thou seest the earth lowly, but when We send down water thereon it thrilleth and groweth." (Shakir)

"And among His Signs is this, that you see the earth still, but when We send down on it the water, it stirs and swells." (Yusuf Ali)

"And among His Signs in this: thou seest the earth barren and desolate; but when We send down rain to it, it is stirred to life and yields increase." (Irving)

"Among his signs, you see how desolate the earth is; yet whenever we send water down upon it, it stirs and sprouts.”

The earth, heavens, animate and inanimate objects (birds, trees, mountains, thunder) are treated in the Quran as living beings that pray and understand when spoken to by God and his messengers. It is narrated that when prophet Mohammad (PBUH) was ascending the pulpit, a nearby tree trunk started moaning and groaning upon hearing the words of revelation. Instances of personification of inanimate objects are present in several verses. Thus in *al-Dukhaan* (Q 44, 29) the sky is assigned a human trait of weeping: "Fama bakat ‘alayhimu l-samaa’u wal-ardu layden desolate, barren’ (Ibn Kathheer, 1993, p.182).

This is attested to in verses 1-2 of *al-Mu’iminun* (The Believers Q 23):

"Qad aflaha al-mu’iminun allatheen um fee salaathihim khaashi’oon

Asad: “Truly, to a happy state shall attain the believers those who humble themselves in their prayer.”

The same word is used both literally and metaphorically in verse 39 of *Fussilat* where a desolate earth is compared to a human being in a state of submissive worship praying to God to elevate a calamity.

"And among His Signs is this: thou seest the earth lowly, but when We send down water thereon it thrilleth and groweth." (Shakir)

With the exception of Pickthall, the other most popular interpretations of the Quran fail to render the common meaning of khaashi’atun as ‘humble’ or ‘submissive’.

- **Asad:** “For among His signs is this: thou seest the earth lying desolate — and lo! When We send down water upon it, it stirs and swells [with life]!”
- **Pickthall:** “And of His portents is this: thou seest the earth lowly, but when We send down water thereon it thrilleth and groweth.”
- **Shakir:** “And among His signs is this, that you see the earth still, but when We send down on it the water, it stirs and swells.”
- **Yusuf Ali:** “And among His Signs in this: thou seest the earth barren and desolate; but when We send down rain to it, it is stirred to life and yields increase.”
- **Irving:** “Among his signs, you see how desolate the earth is; yet whenever we send water down upon it, it stirs and sprouts.”
To exclude TL synonyms other than ‘desolate’ and ‘barren’ for the word khaashi'atan constitutes a case of divergence from the ST by way of under-translation.

Johnstone (1991, p.177) propounds that instead of the logical structure of proof which Westerners see behind the words, Arabic uses repetition as a means of assertion and persuasion. Ali, however, refutes Johnstone’s argument by stressing that far from being a mere rhetorical device, the wording of the Quran is deliberate; every word serves a purpose; and deformation of the text’s original meaning can occur if the repetition is not adequately catered for in the translation (Ali 2006, p.26). Yet, Ali erroneously cites an example of polysemy in surat Yusuf as a case of repetition. In the verse (Yousef Q 12, 4):

إذ قال يوسف لأبيه يا أبت إني رأيت أحد عشر كوكبا والشمس والقمر رأيتهم لي ساجدين.

‘idh qaala youosufu li-abee hi innee ra'aytu ahada ‘ashara kawkaban wa-l-shams wa-l-qamar ra'aytuhum lee saajideen.

O my father! Behold, I saw [in a dream] eleven stars, as the sun and the moon, I saw them prostrating themselves unto me!
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O my father! Behold, I saw [in a dream] eleven stars, as the sun and the moon, I saw them prostrating themselves unto me!

Pickthall: “When Joseph said unto his father: O my father! Lo! I saw in a dream eleven planets and the sun and the moon, I saw them prostrating themselves unto me.”

Shakir: “When Yusuf said to his father: O my father! Surely I saw eleven stars and the sun and the moon — I saw them making obeisance to me.”

Yousef Ali: “Behold! Joseph said to his father: O my father! I did see eleven stars and the sun and the moon: I saw them prostrate themselves to me!”

Finally, the word أَقْتَرَفَ iqtara'fa is often used in the context of committing a sin or perpetrating a criminal act. Nevertheless, in surat al-Tawbah it is used with money to imply that it is a base earthly gain that should not deviate man from the true love of God.

Qul in kaama aaba' u'kum wa-abnaa' u'kum wa-ikhwaam u'kum wa-aswaajukaam wa-isheeratukaam wa-amwaadun iqtaraftum ooha wa-tijaarataan takhshawa kasaadaха wa-masaakinnu tardawnaha ahabba ilaykum mina Allaahi. (Q 9, 24).

Almost all the translations given by the five well known interpreters erroneously render the word iqtara'fa by a more euphemistic equivalent such as ‘acquire’ or ‘gain’ thus glossing over the negative nuance of the ST word.

Asad: Say: If your fathers, and your sons, and your brothers and your spouse s and your clan, and the worldly goods which you have acquired, and the commerce whereof you fear a decline, and the dwellings in which you take pleasure — [if all these] are dearer to you than God.

Ali: Say: If it be that your fathers, your sons, your brothers, your mates, or your kindred; the wealth that ye have gained; the commerce in which ye fear a decline: or the dwellings in which ye delight — are dearer to you than Allah.

Pickthall: Say: If your fathers, and your sons, and your brethren, and your wives, and your tribe, and the wealth ye have acquired, and merchandise for which ye fear that there will be no sale, and dwellings ye desire are dearer to you than Allah.

Determining Polysemy by Means of Collocation

Some cases of formal recurrence of polysemous words may be misleading to the translator if he ignores their subtle nuances and decides to repeat them in the TT, unless the context and other adjacent collocates dictate a different rendering. A case in point is surat al-Rahmaan (Q 55) wherein the polysemous word الميزان al-meezaan occurs in three consecutive verses with synonymous variations in meaning. Thus, in verse 7 it stands for both the actual balance in creating the skies and all creation with delicate
measurement and the balance of justice in reward and punishment, conviction and vindication. And the skies has He raised high, and has set up the Balance (of Justice), In order that ye may not transgress (due) balance. So establish the Balance, and weigh with justice, and do not make the measure deficient. Allah is He that looses the winds, that stir up the Clouds: then does He spread them in the sky as He wills, and causes it to break up so that thou seest rain issuing from within it. And the ‘Ad, they were destroyed by a furious wind. And as for A’ad, they were destroyed by a roaring wind. And as for Ad, they were destroyed by a wind clamorous.

The presence of the collocate ‘atiyyah “tempestuous, fierce” narrows the sense down to that of an unfavourable wind.

- Asad: “And as for the ‘Ad - they were destroyed by a storm wind furiously raging.”
- Ali: “And the ‘Ad, they were destroyed by a furious Wind, exceedingly violent.”
- Pickthall: “And as for A’ad, they were destroyed by a fierce roaring wind.”
- Shakir: “And as to Ad, they were destroyed by a roaring, violent blast.”
- Arberry: “And as for Ad, they were destroyed by a wind clamorous.”

As the above translations indicate, al-reeh is used in the Quran to implicate torment and destruction and hence can be translated ideationally as “fierce, violent wind, raging storm” and functionally as “gale” (Shehab, 2009, p.887). Yet, the word al-riyaah in verse 48 of surat al-Room carries a different nuance as “blessing winds”.

Allahu alladhee yursilu l-riyaaha fatutheeru sahaaban fa-yabsutuhu fee l-samaa’ai kayfa yashaa’u wa-yaj’aluhi kisafan fatara l-wadqa yakhruju min khilaalihi.

- Asad: “It is God who sends forth the winds [of hope], so that they raise a cloud - whereupon He spreads it over the skies as He wills, and causes it to break up so that thou seest rain issue from within it.”
- Ali: “It is Allah Who sends the Winds, and they raise the Clouds: then does He spread them in the sky as He wills, and break them into fragments, until thou seest rain-drops issue from the midst thereof.”
- Pickthall: “Allah is He Who sendeth the winds so that they raise clouds, and spreadeth them along the sky as He pleaseth Him, and causeth them to break and thou seest the rain downpouring from within them.”
- Shakir: “Allah is He Who sends forth the winds so they raise a cloud, then He spreads it forth in the sky as He pleases, and He breaks it up so that you see the rain coming forth from inside it.”
- Arberry: “God is He that looses the winds, that stir up clouds; and He spreads them in heaven how He will, and shatters them; then thou seest the rain issuing out of the midst of them.”

With the exception of Asad’s ideational translation where the word ‘winds’ is post-modified by ‘of hope’ none of the other translators uses any adjective to implicate that unlike the al-riyaah these are benevolent winds of blessings and bounties. The textual clue is offered in the adjacent word ‘rain’ and the word ‘rejoice’ in the following verse line. Even though the word ‘winds’ is a reasonable equivalent to al-riyaah as both words share the same sense of moving or stirring up the clouds and sending down rain, yet, since we are dealing with
a Quranic text where slight differences of lexical items do count, it could be more faithful if we reflect the difference based on adjacent words in the immediate context (Shehab, 2009, p.880-1).

Similarly, Faraghal (2010, p.173) notes that Arberry undertranslates the Quranic euphemistic term ‘dkkha’ “the legally prescribed period of three months before a divorced woman can remarry, in order to rule out pregnancy” as simply ‘period’ which can be easily confused with ‘menstrual period’. “And neither do you worship that which I worship.” And I will not worship that which you have [ever] worshipped.” Ya-‘ayyuha l-nabyyu idhaa tallaqtum l-nisa’a fa-talliquoohumna li-i’ddithinna wa-ahsii l-i’ddata wa-ttaqoo Allaahah rabbaakum.

- Arberry: O Prophet [Mohammad] when you divorce women, divorce them when they have reached their period. Count the period, and fear your lord.

The collocations of divorce help the ST reader determine the sense of ‘period’ as that of conception rather than the monthly menstrual period. The translator could have mediated by explicating the equivalent in favour of the TT recipient.

Determining Polysemy and Synonymy by Morphological Form and Textual Adjacency

Arabic employs subtle morphological distinctions in the patterns of word formation; a single diacritic (short vowel) may lead to significant semantic differences as illustrated in the following example.

In surat al-Kafiroon (Q 109), the word ‘aabidun’ is repeated twice in successive verses (3, 5).

Although verses 3 and 5 have exactly identical lexes and syntax yet they have different interpretations in respect of tense and aspect. The present participle form (2nd person plural) ‘aabidoon stands for the present time “nor do you worship what I worship” since the coordinate conjunction ‘wala’ is preceded by the present verb form ‘ta’budoon “you worship [now]”. Yet, in verse 5 the same word ‘aabidun is rendered in the future tense as “you will worship” in line with the preceding present participle form (1st person plural) ‘aabidun in verse 4.

The verb ‘yahlif’ is used in the Holy Quran in the context of the hypocrites severing their oath whereas the verb ‘yasim is used in honest, sincere oaths. Since there is no correspondent verb other than ‘swear’ in English to relay this delicate difference between the two synonyms, we may resort to ideational equivalence such as “untruthfully swear” for ‘yahlifoon and “truthfully swear” for ‘yasim (Shehab, 2009, p.881). Thus in surat al-A’raaf (Q 7, 21).

Nevertheless, the negative sense of ‘dishonest, untruthful oath’ in surat al-Tawbah (Q 9, 56) is congruent with the repudiation in the latter part of the verse that the hypocrites do not belong to Muslims.

Yet, none of the five translations uses any qualifying modifiers such as ‘dishonestly’ or ‘deceitfully’ before the word ‘swear’ to reflect this implication.

- Asad: “And they swear by God that they do indeed belong to you -the while they do not belong to you, but are [only] people ridden by fear.”
- Pickthall: “And they swear by Allah that they are in truth of you, when they are not of you, but they are folk who are afraid.”
- Ali: “They swear by Allah that they are indeed of you; but are not of you: yet they are afraid (to appear in their true colours).”
- Shakir: “And they swear by Allah that they are most surely of you, and they are not of you, but they are a people who are afraid (of you).”
- Arberry: “They swear by God that they belong with you, but they are not of you: they are a people that are afraid.”

The word ‘ماء’ ‘water’ is frequently used in the Quran to refer exclusively to ‘graceful, nourishing rain’

هو الذي أنزل من السماء ماء لكم منكمو ولكلهم كريم

Huwa ilathe anzala mina l-sama’i maa’an lakum minhu sharaabun waminnuh feehi tuseemoon (Q 16, 10).

With the exception of Ali, the other four translators preferred to use ‘water’ rather than ‘rain’ in order to reflect the benign nature of this kind of rain in juxtaposition with ‘destructive rainstorms’.

- Asad: “It is He who sends down water from the sky; you drink thereof, and thereof [drink] the plants upon which you pasture your beasts.”
- Pickthall: “He it is Who sendeth down water from the sky, whence ye have drink, and whence are trees on which ye send your beasts to pasture.”
The peculiar sense of عَرَّفَهُ يَعْلَمُ. It is He who sends down rain from the sky: from it ye drink, and out of it (grows) the vegetation on which ye feed your cattle.

- Shakir: “He it is Who sends down water from the cloud for you; it gives drink, and by it (grow) the trees upon which you pasture.”

- Arberry: “It is He who sends down to you out of heaven water of which you have to drink, and of which trees, for you to pasture your herds.”

The word مَاطَرَ ‘rain’ in Arabic is sometimes used interchangeably with the synonym غَيْث. The latter, often alludes to relief and long-awaited rain after a draught as in the following verse of surat al-Shooraa (Q 42, 28)

وَأَمْطَرْنَاهُم مَطْرًا فَسَاءَ مِنْ مَطَارِنَاءِ الْذَّانِينَ

وهو الذي ينزل الغيث من بعد ما قنطو وهو الولي الحميد.

Wa-huwa lladhee yunazzilu l-ghaytha min ba’di maainvariably render the word unfolds his mercy, grace). The translations of this verse adjacent words in the context (after they have despaired, as in the following verse of surat al-Shooraa (Q 42, 28)

وَأَمْطَرْنَاهُم مَطْرًا فَسَاءَ مِنْ مَطَارِنَاءِ الْذَّانِينَ

As with the synonym غَيْث ghayth, English translations use the English translations use the word Ghayth as ‘relief’ is implied in the adjacent words in the context (after they have despaired, unfolds his mercy, grace). The translations of this verse invariably render the word غَيْث ghayth as ‘rain’ albeit Pickthall adds a qualitative adjective ‘saving’.

- Asad: “And it is He who sends down rain after [men] have lost all hope, and unfolds His grace…”

- Pickthall: “And He is WHO sends down the saving rain after they have despaired, and spreadeth out His mercy…”

- Arberry: “And it is He who sends down the rain after they have despaired, and He unfolds His mercy…”

Although مَاطَرَ ‘rain’ is often used as the neutral superordinate or hypernym word, yet in the Quran it is also used in the context of a penalty imposed by God for wrongdoing (Shehab, 2009, p.881-2) as in verse 58 of surat al-Naml (Q 27) where the rain is described as ‘evil’ and ‘dreadful’.

- Ali: “And We rained down on them a shower (of brimstone): and evil was the shower on those who were admonished (but heeded not)”

- Shakir: “And We rained on them a rain, and evil was the rain of those who had been warned.”

- Arberry: “And We rained on them a rain; and evil indeed is the rain of them that are warned.”

Other synonyms for مَاطَرَ matar include صَبْبٌ sayyib which is used in surat al-Baqarah (Q 2, 19) with the sense of a violent and destructive rainstorm that frightens the disbelievers.

Aw ka-sayyibin mina al-samaw'i fee hi l-amma na wa-ra'dun wa-barqun yaj'aloona asaabi'ahum fee aathaanihim mina al-sawaa'i taji hathara al-mawti.

Yet, the renditions given by the five translators of the Quran fall short of finding an equivalent synonym in English and instead insert other lexical modifiers.

- Asad: “Or [the parable] of a violent cloudburst in the sky, with utter darkness…”

- Pickthall: “Or like a rainstorm from the sky, wherein is darkness…”

- Ali: “Or (another similitude) is that of a rain-laden cloud from the sky: In it are zones of darkness…”

- Shakir: “Or like abundant rain from the cloud in which is utter darkness…”

- Arberry: “Or as a cloudburst out of heaven in which is darkness…”

In all the above examples, the morphological form of the word, the adjacency of collocates and other modifiers (adjectives and explicating phrases) within the same context narrow down the nuances of polysemous words and help the translator in eliminating redundant synonyms.

Conclusion

This paper has focused on the issue of translating polysemous words and their recurrence in the Quran with different nuances in adjacent contexts. By analyzing the corpus of examples of the various English interpretations of the Quran we realized that some deviations and undertranslations are the result of insufficient reference to the exegeses of the Quran, lack of understanding of Arabic morphology and inability to decode the nuances of polysemous words. If translators choose to undertranslate by ignoring the nuances and connotations of polysemous and recurrent words, they would fail to accommodate all the meanings of the original; this is because synonyms, polysem, and repeated words in the Quran serve a purpose. Instances of recurrence may either be repeated formally or erroneously interpreted as semantic redundancies in the TT. In order to maintain the informative and aesthetic functions of recurrent Quranic words and phrases, translators should attempt to reproduce them in approximate functional
equivalents or compensate their deletion with lexical insertions, annotations or ideational equivalents.

It should be noted that, unlike English, Arabic uses extended cognitive synonyms and recurrences of polysemous words. When it comes to translating non-sensitive texts, some translators may not find the urgency to preserve the subtle differences which exist among such synonyms and recurrences. Yet, upon dealing with sensitive and religious texts, a translation solely based on the conceptual and denotative meaning of synonymous or polysemous words may be incongruent with their connotations and implications. So, in order to relay the exact implicated meaning of the ST, one may resort to ideational or annotated renditions wherein reference should be made to the exegeses, books of prophetic traditions and Arabic heritage dictionaries. Thus, text-type is paramount in deciding what translation equivalence translators should opt for.

The analyses of recurrence cases in the present study indicate that the translations of the Quran by Ali, Asad and Irving show less repetition and more annotation. They focus on reflecting the function of recurrence by using more varieties of words and meanings and giving primacy to the informative over the aesthetic aspect of the holy text. In comparison, Pickthall's, Arberry's and Shakir's are more literal as they attempt to be more economical by preserving the same forms and wordings of the ST. Their translations include a reproduction of the ST complete and partial recurrences which at times sound semantically redundant and less informative than the ST owing to the incompatibility between the ST and the TT in the nuances of recurrent polysemous words.
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